

# System Identification – Practical Assignment 10: Recursive ARX identification

Logistics: Same as for previous labs.

In this assignment we will study the recursive variant of the ARX method, see the lecture: *Recursive identification methods*. You will develop a function with the exact signature:

```
[index, Pinv10, theta50, thetaN] = rarxidentify
```

Each student is assigned an index number in the set 1-8, which needs to be saved to variable `index` at the beginning of the function. The index dictates which data file the student should load. For instance, if you have index 3, you load file `lab10_3.mat`. All these datafiles are already accessible from your function code. Each file contains the identification data in variable `id`, and the validation data in variable `val`. Hint: to increase execution speed and avoid timeouts, save the vectors of inputs and outputs to separate arrays instead of always accessing the `id` object.

From prior knowledge, it is known that the system has order  $n$ , given in variable `n` in the data file; that it is of the output error (OE) type; and that it has no time delay. To account for the model mismatch we will take larger orders for the ARX model:  $na = nb = 3 \cdot n$ .

- Implement the recursive ARX algorithm, see the pseudocode below with additional hints compared to the lecture. Run the algorithm on the identification data, using an initial matrix  $P^{-1}(0) = \frac{1}{\delta} I_{na+nb}$  with  $\delta = 0.01$ , and a zero initial parameter vector  $\theta(0)$ . As an intermediate verification, return  $P^{-1}(10)$  in output `Pinv10` of the function.
- Return in `theta50` the parameter vector  $\theta(49)$  after processing 49 data points,<sup>1</sup> and in `thetaN` the final parameter vector  $\theta(N)$  after processing all data points.
- Using function `compare`, investigate the quality of the two ARX models corresponding to these two parameter vectors *on the validation data*. Which model is better? Think about the reasons.
- Optionally, if you still have time, repeat the experiment with  $\delta = 100$ . Consider the results. For which value of  $\delta$  are the models worse, and why?

- 
- 1: initialize  $\hat{\theta}(0)$  (an  $na + nb$  column vector),  $P^{-1}(0)$  (a  $(na + nb) \times (na + nb)$  matrix)
  - 2: **loop** at every step  $k = 1, 2, \dots$
  - 3: retrieve  $u(k), y(k)$
  - 4: form ARX regressor vector:  $\varphi(k) = [-y(k-1), \dots, -y(k-na), u(k-1), \dots, u(k-nb)]^\top$
  - 5: find prediction error:  $\varepsilon(k) = y(k) - \varphi^\top(k) \hat{\theta}(k-1)$  (a scalar)
  - 6: update inverse:  $P^{-1}(k) = P^{-1}(k-1) - \frac{P^{-1}(k-1) \varphi(k) \varphi^\top(k) P^{-1}(k-1)}{1 + \varphi^\top(k) P^{-1}(k-1) \varphi(k)}$
  - 7: compute weights:  $W(k) = P^{-1}(k) \varphi(k)$  (an  $(na + nb)$  column vector)
  - 8: update parameters:  $\hat{\theta}(k) = \hat{\theta}(k-1) + W(k) \varepsilon(k)$
  - 9: **end loop**
- 

Relevant functions from the System Identification toolbox: `rarx`, `idpoly`, `compare`. Additional hints:

<sup>1</sup>The reference solution has an off-by-1 error, apologies.

- Once you have your polynomials  $A$  and  $B$  as vectors of coefficients in increasing powers of  $q^{-1}$ , use `idpoly(A, B, [], [], [], 0, Ts)` to generate the ARX model, where  $T_s$  is the sampling period. Do not forget that all vectors of polynomial coefficients must always contain the leading constant coefficients (power 0 of the argument  $q^{-1}$ ), which must be 1 in  $A$  and 0 in  $B$ . Keep in mind that the matrix of parameters returned by the algorithm does *not* contain these leading coefficients.
- Matlab also offers function `rarx` that you can use to verify your results, if you wish. This function takes at the input the identification dataset, the model orders  $na$  and  $nb$  and the delay  $nk$  as a vector, the 'fff', 1 arguments to configure the algorithm as in the lecture, the initial parameter vector  $\theta(0)$ , and the initial inverse matrix  $P^{-1}(0)$ . The quantity denoted  $P$  by documentation of the `rarx` Matlab function is actually the *inverse* matrix  $P^{-1}$  from the lecture, so be careful when setting it. The function produces at the output a matrix  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (na+nb)}$  containing on each row  $k$  the parameter vector  $\theta(k)$ : first the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_{na}$  of  $A$ , and then the coefficients  $b_1, \dots, b_{nb}$  of  $B$ .