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Part VI

Input signals
**Motivation**

Choosing inputs is the core of experiment design

All identification methods require inputs to satisfy certain conditions, for example:

- Transient analysis requires step or impulse inputs
- Correlation analysis preferably works with white-noise input
- ARX requires “sufficiently informative” inputs
In this part we:

- **Revisit** some types of input signals that were already used
- Describe a few **new types of input signals**
- Discuss **choices and properties** of input signals important for system identification
- **Characterize** the signals discussed
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**Step input**

**Left:** Unit step:

\[
u(k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k < 0 \\
1 & k \geq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

**Right:** Step of arbitrary magnitude:

\[
u(k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k < 0 \\
u_{ss} & k \geq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

**Remark:** These are discrete-time reformulations of the continuous-time variants.
Impulse input

In discrete-time, we cannot freely approximate the ideal impulse (left), since the signal can only change values at the sampling instants.

**Right:** Discrete-time impulse realization:

\[
u(k) = \begin{cases} 
    u_{\text{imp}} & k = 0 \\
    0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

- When \( u_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{T_s} \), the integral of the signal is 1 and we get an approximation of the continuous-time impulse.
- When \( u_{\text{imp}} = 1 \) (e.g. in correlation analysis), we get a “unit” discrete-time impulse.
Sum of sines

\[ u(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \sin(\omega_j k + \varphi_j) \]

- \( a_j \): amplitudes of the \( m \) component sines
- \( \omega_j \): frequencies, \( 0 \leq \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \ldots < \omega_m \leq \pi \)
- \( \varphi_j \): phases
White noise

Recall zero-mean white noise: mean 0, different steps uncorrelated.
In the example figure, values were independently drawn from a zero-mean Gaussian distribution:

\[ u(k) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \text{ with pdf } f(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \]
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Pseudo-random binary sequence (PRBS)

A signal that switches between two discrete values, generated with a specific algorithm.

Interesting because it approximates white noise, and so it inherits some of the useful properties of white noise (formalized later).
PRBS generator can be generated with a linear shift feedback register as in the figure. All signals and coefficients are binary (the states are bits).

At each discrete step $k$:

- State $x_i$ transfers to state $x_{i+1}$.
- State $x_1$ is set to the modulo-two addition of states on the feedback path (if $a_i = 1$ then $x_i$ is added, if $a_i = 0$ then it is not).
- Output $u(k)$ is collected at state $x_m$. 
Modulo-two addition

Formula/truth table of modulo-two addition:

\[ p \oplus q = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } p = 0, q = 0 \\
1 & \text{if } p = 0, q = 1 \\
1 & \text{if } p = 1, q = 0 \\
0 & \text{if } p = 1, q = 1 
\end{cases} \]

...also known as XOR (eXclusive OR)

Remark: such a feedback register is easily implemented in hardware.
Arbitrary-valued PRBS

To obtain a signal $u'(k)$ taking values $a, b$ instead of 0, 1, shift & scale the original signal $u(k)$:

$$u'(k) = a + (b - a)u(k)$$

**Example** for $a = 0.5, b = 0.8$:
State space representation

\[ x_1(k+1) = a_1 x_1(k) \oplus a_2 x_2(k) \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m x_m(k) \]
\[ x_2(k+1) = x_1(k) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ x_m(k+1) = x_{m-1}(k) \]
\[ u(k) = x_m(k) \]

\[ x(k) = [x_1(k), \ldots, x_m(k)]^\top \] compactly denotes the state vector of \( m \) variables (bits)
State space representation: matrix form

\[
x(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_{m-1} & a_m \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \otimes x(k) := A \otimes x(k)
\]

\[
u(k) = [0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0 \ 1]x(k) := Cx(k)
\]

where \( \otimes \) symbolically indicates that the additions in the matrix product are performed modulo 2.
The PRBS algorithm is deterministic, so the current state $x(k)$ fully determines the future states and outputs.

⇒ Period (number of steps until sequence repeats) at most $2^m$

- The identically zero state is undesirable, as the future sequence would always remain 0

⇒ Maximum practical period is $P = 2^m - 1$

A PRBS with period $P = 2^m - 1$ is called maximum-length PRBS. Such PRBS have interesting characteristics, so they are preferred in practice.
Maximum-length PRBS

The period is determined by the feedback coefficients $a_i$.

The following coefficients must be 1 to achieve maximum length (all others 0):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>Max period $2^m – 1$</th>
<th>Coefficients equal to 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$a_1, a_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$a_1, a_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$a_2, a_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>$a_1, a_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>$a_1, a_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>$a_1, a_2, a_7, a_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>$a_4, a_9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>$a_3, a_{10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other working combinations of coefficients exist, and coefficients for larger $m$ can be found in the literature.
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Choice of input shape

Some identification methods require specific types of inputs:

- Transient analysis requires step or impulse inputs.
- Correlation analysis preferably works with white-noise input.

Rule of thumb: input shapes (and other characteristics like amplitude) should be chosen to be representative for the typical operation of the system.
Range of allowed inputs typically constrained by system operator, due to safety or cost concerns.

Even if allowed, overly large inputs may take the system out of its zone of linearity and lead to poor performance of linear identification.

But too small inputs will lead to signals dominated by noise and disturbance.
Choice of sampling interval

\( T_s \)

For nearly all methods, we work in discrete time so we must choose a sampling interval \( T_s \)

- To large intervals will not model the relevant dynamics of the system. Initial idea: 10% of the dominant time constant
- Too small intervals will lead to overly large effects of noise and disturbance
- When in doubt, take \( T_s \) smaller

Due to Nyquist-Shannon, we know that signals cannot be recovered above frequency \( 1/(2T_s) \), so to mitigate noise and other effects it is useful to pass the outputs (and inputs, if measured) through a low-pass filter that eliminates higher frequencies
Mean and covariance

Given a random signal $u(k)$, its mean and covariance are defined:

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}\{u(k)\}$$
$$r_u(\tau) = \mathbb{E}\{[u(k + \tau) - \mu][u(k) - \mu]\}$$

Recall:

- Mean and variance of random variables
- Related covariance function $r_u(\tau)$ in correlation analysis
Mean and covariance: deterministic signal

When the signal is deterministic (e.g. PRBS), the mean and covariance are redefined as:

\[
\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u(k)
\]

\[
r_{u}(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [u(k + \tau) - \mu][u(k) - \mu]
\]

Note: \( \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \cdot \) is the same as \( E \{ \cdot \} \) for a (well-behaved) random signal.

Generalization to vector signals \( u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{nu} \): interpret the sums elementwise, replace \([u(k + \tau) - \mu][u(k) - \mu]\) by \([u(k + \tau) - \mu][u(k) - \mu]^\top\), an \( nu \times nu \) covariance matrix.
Handling nonzero means

- Correlation analysis requires zero-mean signals
- But even other methods (like ARX or more general prediction error methods) may work better when the means are removed

The means can be removed from the signal, and then possibly modeled separately

(see Söderström and Stoica, Chapter 12 for more details)
Persistent excitation

Even methods that do not fix the input shape make requirements on the inputs: e.g. for ARX we required that $u(k)$ is “sufficiently informative”, without making that property formal.

This condition can be precisely stated in terms of a property called persistence of excitation.
We develop an *idealized* version of correlation analysis. This is only an intermediate motivating step, and the property is useful in many identification algorithms.

Finite impulse response (FIR) model:

\[
y(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} h(j)u(k - j) + v(k)
\]
Correlation analysis: Covariances

Assuming $u(k)$, $y(k)$ are zero-mean, so the means do not need to be subtracted:

$$r_u(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u(k + \tau)u(k)$$

$$r_{yu}(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y(k + \tau)u(k)$$

In practice covariances must be estimated from finite datasets, but here we work with their ideal values (since this is only a motivating example, which we do not actually implement).
Correlation analysis: Identifying the FIR

Taking $M$ equations to find the FIR parameters, we have:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  r_{yu}(0) \\
  r_{yu}(1) \\
  \vdots \\
  r_{yu}(M-1)
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  r_u(0) & r_u(1) & \ldots & r_u(M-1) \\
  r_u(1) & r_u(0) & \ldots & r_u(M-2) \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  r_u(M-1) & r_u(M-2) & \ldots & r_u(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  h(0) \\
  h(1) \\
  \vdots \\
  h(M-1)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Denote the matrix in the equation by $R_u(M)$, the covariance matrix of the input.
Persistent excitation: formal definition

**Definition**

A signal $u(k)$ is **persistently exciting (PE) of order** $n$ if $R_u(n)$ is positive definite.

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is positive definite if $h^T A h > 0$ for any nonzero vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $A$ must be nonsingular.

**Examples:**

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive definite. Denote $h = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, then $h^T A h = a^2 + b^2$.

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not positive definite. Counterexample: $h = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ -a \end{bmatrix}$, $h^T A h = -2a^2$. 
If the order of PE is $M$, then $R_u(M)$ is positive definite, hence invertible and the linear system from correlation analysis can be solved to find an FIR of length $M$.

So an order $M$ of PE means that an FIR model of length $M$ is identifiable ($M$ parameters can be found).
Beyond FIR, PE plays a role in *all* parametric system identification methods, including ARX and methods still to be discussed, like prediction error methods and instrumental variable techniques.

A **large enough order of PE** is required to properly identify the parameters.

Typically, the required order is a multiple of (usually twice) the number of parameters $n$ that must be estimated.
Covariance alternatives

One of the two following definitions can be used for the covariance:

\[ r_u(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u(k + \tau)u(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

\[ r_u(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [u(k + \tau) - \mu][u(k) - \mu] \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

When \( u(k) \) is not zero-mean, these two definitions lead to different orders of PE (larger by 1 for the first definition).

Although the first definition is not really the true covariance, it is convenient to compute, so we will use it when examining PE in the sequel.
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Step input

Take the more general, non-unit step:

\[ u(k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k < 0 \\
 u_{ss} & k \geq 0 
\end{cases} \]
Step input: Mean and covariance

Mean and covariance:

\[ \mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u(k) = u_{ss} \]

\[ r_u(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u(k + \tau)u(k) = u_{ss}^2 \]

Note the signal starts from \( k = 0 \), so the summation is modified (unimportant to the final result).
Covariance matrix:

\[
R_u(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
r_u(0) & r_u(1) & \ldots & r_u(n-1) \\
r_u(1) & r_u(0) & \ldots & r_u(n-2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
r_u(n-1) & r_u(n-2) & \ldots & r_u(0)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
u_{ss}^2 & u_{ss}^2 & \ldots & u_{ss}^2 \\
u_{ss}^2 & u_{ss}^2 & \ldots & u_{ss}^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
u_{ss}^2 & u_{ss}^2 & \ldots & u_{ss}^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This matrix has rank 1, so a step input is PE of order 1.
Impulse input

Recall discrete-time realization:

\[ u(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T_s} & k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

Mean and covariance:

\[
\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u(k) = 0
\]

\[
r_{u}(\tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u(k + \tau)u(k) = 0
\]

⇒ The impulse is not PE of any order.
Sum of sines

\[ u(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \sin(\omega_j k + \varphi_j), \quad 0 \leq \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \ldots < \omega_n \leq \pi \]

Mean and covariance:

\[ \mu = \begin{cases} 
  a_1 \sin(\varphi_1) & \text{if } \omega_1 = 0 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

\[ r_u(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_j^2}{2} \cos(\omega_j \tau) + \begin{cases} 
  \frac{a_m^2}{2} \sin^2 \varphi_m & \text{if } \omega_m = \pi \\
  \frac{a_m^2}{2} \cos(\omega_m \tau) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]
Sum of sines (continued)

For the multisine exemplified before, the covariance function is:

\[
A \text{ multisine having } m \text{ components is PE of order } n \text{ with:}
\]

\[
n = \begin{cases} 
2m & \text{if } \omega_1 \neq 0, \omega_m \neq \pi \\
2m - 1 & \text{if } \omega_1 = 0 \text{ or } \omega_m = \pi \\
2m - 2 & \text{if } \omega_1 = 0 \text{ and } \omega_m = \pi 
\end{cases}
\]
White noise: Mean and covariance

Take a zero-mean white noise signal of variance $\sigma^2$, e.g. drawn from a Gaussian:

$$u(k) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

Then, by definition:

$$\mu = 0$$

$$r_u(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma^2 & \text{if } \tau = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$
White noise: Covariance example

Covariance function of white noise signal exemplified before:
White noise: Order of PE

Covariance matrix:

\[ R_u(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
  r_u(0) & r_u(1) & \cdots & r_u(n-1) \\
  r_u(1) & r_u(0) & \cdots & r_u(n-2) \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  r_u(n-1) & r_u(n-2) & \cdots & r_u(0)
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ = \begin{bmatrix}
  \sigma^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  0 & \sigma^2 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma^2
\end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 I_n \]

where \( I_n = \) the identity matrix, positive definite.

\[ \Rightarrow \text{for any } n, R_u(n) \text{ positive definite — white noise is PE of any order.} \]

Question

Given the information above, why does correlation analysis prefer white noise to other input signals, in order to identify the FIR?
Consider a 0, 1-valued, maximum-length PRBS with $m$ bits: $P = 2^m - 1$, a large number.

Then its state $x(k)$ will contain all possible binary values with $m$ digits except 0.

Signal $u(k)$ is the last position of $x(k)$, which takes value 1 a number of $2^{m-1}$ times, and value 0 a number of $2^{m-1} - 1$ times.

$\Rightarrow$ Mean value:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{P} u(k) = \frac{1}{P} 2^{m-1} = \frac{(P + 1)/2}{P} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2P} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

where the approximation holds for large $P$. 
Consider a zero-mean PRBS, scaled between $-a$ and $a$:

$$u'(k) = -a + 2a u(k)$$

Then:

$$\mu = -a + 2a \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2P} \right) = \frac{a}{P} \approx 0$$

$$r_u(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{P^2} \approx 1 & \text{if } \tau = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{P^2} \approx -\frac{1}{P} \approx 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
So, PRBS behaves similarly to white noise (similar covariance function). Combined with the ease of generating it, this property makes PRBS very useful in system identification.
A maximum-length PRBS is **PE of exactly order** $P$, the period (and not larger).

**Exercise**

Using the approximate formula that ignores the terms $\frac{1}{P^2}$:

$$r_u(\tau) \approx \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{P} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

take a small value of $P \geq 2$ and show that the PRBS is exactly of PE order $P$.

**Hint:** construct $R_u(n)$ for $n = P$ and show that it is rank $P$, then for $n > P$ and show it is *still* only of rank $P$. This can be done by showing that columns $P + 1, P + 2, \ldots$ are linear combinations of the first $P$ columns.